

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.pov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,223	10/27/2000	Bruno Albert Jean Hubesch	CM1715F	5094
27752	7590 03/31/2003			
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			EXAMINER	
			BOYER, CHARLES I	
0 0 0	6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1751	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/674,223

Applicant(s)

Hubesch et al

Office Action Summary Examiner

**Charles Boyer** 

Art Unit 1751



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address			
	for Reply						
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	_ MONTH(S) FROM					
· Extens	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r	no event, however, m	ay a reply b	e timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ind will expire SIX (6) ne application to becon	MONTHS fr me ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 3, 200	03		<u> </u>			
2a) 🗶	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action	ion is non-final					
3) 🗔	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) X	Claim(s) 1, 22-37, and 41-60			is/are pending in the application.			
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)		<u></u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) 1, 22-37, and 41-60						
7) 🛄	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
	Claims						
	ation Papers						
9) 🗔	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	All b). Some* c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	d.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	d in App	lication No			
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
	The translation of the foreign language provisiona						
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
Attachm		,					
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTC	0-413) Paper No(s).			
2) N	t Application (PTO-152)						
3) [ ] In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/674223

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to applicants' amendment and response received Aug 13, 2002. Claims 1, 22-37 and 41-60 are currently pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 22-37, and 41-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vogel et al, US 5,532,023.

Vogel et al teach a wrinkle reducing composition for use on fabrics (see abstract). The liquid carrier of the invention is an aqueous system comprising water and may contain organic solvents such as polyhydric alcohols and alkylene glycols (col. 12, lines 55-67). Antistatic agents such as choline esters may be added to these compositions (col. 11, lines 17-48). Suitable ethoxylated surfactants of the invention include alkyl ether sulfates (col. 8, lines 41-62) and ethoxylated quaternary ammonium surfactants (col. 9, lines 29-35). While Vogel et al generically teach the components of the present claims, they are not taught in the specific manner required by the claims. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to formulate a wrinkle reducing composition containing anionic or cationic surfactants, choline esters and organic

Application/Control Number: 09/674223 Page 3

Art Unit: 1751

solvents as these components are taught as suitable in the wrinkle reducing compositions of Vogel et al.

Applicants have traversed this rejection on the grounds that Vogel et al do not teach their specific combination of components. The examiner disagrees and notes that choline esters in combination with any of the other cationic surfactants of Vogel et al will satisfy these claim limitations. Accordingly, the rejection is maintained.

## Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1751

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Boyer whose telephone number is (703) 308-2524. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If reasonable attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Charl Boys

Charles Boyer

March 27, 2003